



LRQA Independent Assurance Statement

Relating to Prologis' Sustainability Report for Calendar Year 2021

This Assurance Statement has been prepared for Prologis, Inc. (Prologis) in accordance with our contract but is intended for the readers of this Report.

Terms of Engagement

LRQA was commissioned by Prologis to provide independent assurance on its 2021 Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Report (the report) to a moderate level of assurance and materiality of the professional judgement of the verifier using AccountAbility's AA 1000AS (v3), where the scope was a Type 2 engagement.

Our assurance engagement covered Prologis' global operations and activities and specifically:

- verified conformance with AA1000 AccountAbility Principles (AA1000AP 2018)
- evaluated the accuracy and reliability of data and information.

Our assurance engagement excluded the data and information of Prologis' suppliers, contractors and any third-parties mentioned in the report.

LRQA's responsibility is only to Prologis. LRQA disclaims any liability or responsibility to others as explained in the end footnote. Prologis' responsibility is for collecting, aggregating, analysing and presenting all the data and information within the report and for maintaining effective internal controls over the systems from which the report is derived. Ultimately, the report has been approved by, and remains the responsibility of Prologis.

LRQA's corresponding Greenhouse Gas Verification Assurance Statement should be referred to in conjunction with this Assurance Statement.

LRQA's Opinion

Based on LRQA's approach nothing has come to our attention that would cause us to believe that Prologis has not, in all material respects:

- Met the requirements above
- Disclosed accurate and reliable performance data and information as no errors or omissions were detected
- Covered all the issues that are important to the stakeholders and readers of this report.

The opinion expressed is formed on the basis of a moderate level of assurance and at the materiality of the professional judgement of the verifier.

Note: The extent of evidence-gathering for a moderate assurance engagement is less than for a high assurance engagement. Moderate assurance engagements focus on aggregated data rather than physically checking source data at sites. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a moderate assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a high assurance engagement been performed.

LRQA's Approach

LRQA's assurance engagements are carried out in accordance with our verification procedure. The following tasks though were undertaken as part of the evidence gathering process for this assurance engagement:

- Assessing Prologis' approach to stakeholder engagement to confirm that issues raised by stakeholders were captured correctly. We did this through interviews with senior management and a review of procedures and processes in place to manage stakeholder engagement.
- Reviewing Prologis' process for identifying and determining material issues to confirm that the right issues were included in their Report. We did this by independently reviewing Prologis' internal materiality process, benchmarking reports written by Prologis and its peers to ensure that sector specific issues were included for comparability, referencing the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Universal Standards and Topic-specific Standards and referencing Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) real estate sector standard.
- Auditing Prologis' data management systems to confirm that there were no significant errors, omissions or mis-statements in the Report. We did this by:
 - sampling specific source and aggregated data points referenced in the Report
 - speaking with key people responsible for compiling the data and drafting the Report.
 - Interviewing members of the executive committee, senior management, and various other roles within the company by virtual meetings.



Observations

Further observations and findings, made during the assurance engagement, are:

- **Stakeholder inclusivity:**
We are not aware of any stakeholder groups that have been excluded from Prologis' stakeholder engagement process. Prologis refreshed the 2017 stakeholder engagement process in 2019 and LR encourages further engagement of external stakeholders to support results. The process is biennial and was expected to be completed again in 2021. Due to limited resources, Prologis conducted the 2021 review by engaging internal stakeholders including those who have direct contact with Prologis external stakeholders. Prologis plans to improve the process and conduct a more comprehensive review in 2022.
- **Materiality:**
We are not aware of any material issues concerning Prologis' sustainability performance that have been excluded from the Report. The Report covers a range of ESG performance. The published annual PDF report provides highlights of key accomplishments and initiatives. The addition of the Data Disclosure Table in 2020, which Prologis has continued to develop in 2021, provides comprehensive ESG data spanning the last five years.
- **Responsiveness:**
Prologis engages regularly with internal and external stakeholders, with an emphasis on customers. Specific examples of responsiveness in 2021 include:
 - continued expansion of access to green energy through solar and reduced energy consumption through LED lighting and cool roofs
 - installation of battery storage and electric vehicle stations and programs for community buy back of excess solar electricity
 - continuing to support the concept of circular building, reducing waste and GHGs across the life cycle of the asset.These goals are supportive of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) and align with Prologis, customer, local community and global community sustainability goals.
- **Reliability:**
We believe that the criteria applied are appropriate and sufficient to produce consistent, unbiased, understandable content for the end user.
- **Impact:**
Prologis goals and strategies are mature and in line with ESG philosophy. Impacts across the property life cycle are considered, improvements are committed to, progress is measured and metrics are reported. Two prominent life cycle examples include solar and LED. Continuation in this strategy is seen in growing prominence of EV charging stations and expanding solar beyond what the building tenant can utilize.

LRQA's Standards, Competence and Independence

LRQA ensures the selection of appropriately qualified individuals based on their qualifications, training and experience. The outcome of all verification and certification assessments is then internally reviewed by senior management to ensure that the approach applied is rigorous and transparent.

The verification assessment along with GHG verification is the only work undertaken by LRQA for Sands and as such does not compromise our independence or impartiality.

Signed

Dated: May 18, 2022

Andrea M. Bockrath
LRQA Lead Verifier

On behalf of Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance, Inc.
1330 Enclave Pkwy, Suite 200, Houston, Texas 88077

LRQA reference: UQA00000496

LRQA, its affiliates and subsidiaries, and their respective officers, employees or agents are, individually and collectively, referred to in this clause as 'LRQA'. LRQA assumes no responsibility and shall not be liable to any person for any loss, damage or expense caused by reliance on the information or advice in this document or howsoever provided, unless that person has signed a contract with the relevant LRQA entity for the provision of this information or advice and in that case any responsibility or liability is exclusively on the terms and conditions set out in that contract.

The English version of this Assurance Statement is the only valid version. LRQA assumes no responsibility for versions translated into other languages.

This Assurance Statement is only valid when published with the Report to which it refers. It may only be reproduced in its entirety.

Copyright © LRQA, 2022